JUSTICE THROUGH APOLOGIES

In this follow-up to *I Was Wrong: The Meanings of Apologies*, Nick Smith applies his theories of categorical apologies to law. State agents determine punishments for millions of offenders by consulting gut feelings and rendering unappealable decisions regarding the defendant’s contrition. Findings of remorse can determine whether an offender lives or dies, yet we expect reviewers to “know it when they see it”: look into the defendants’ souls, intuit the depths of their evil, and punish accordingly. Smith argues all major theories of punishment should typically endorse “apology reductions” *only if* offenders demonstrate moral transformation by satisfying rigorous standards for apologies. Smith also explains how apologies have become pawns for civil defense attorneys who consider them cheap and low-risk litigation strategies to appease victims. Recent legislative trends increase the likelihood that offenders will gain the many financial and public relations benefits of “saying sorry” even when they do not accept blame, provide redress, or reform behaviors and policies to prevent additional injuries.
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